

# Muscle Repositioning

## A new verifiable approach to neuro-myofascial release?

Luiz Fernando Bertolucci

---

*Luiz Fernando Bertolucci, MD, BSc, is a Certified Advanced Rolwing® Practitioner and a member of the faculty of the Rolf Institute of Structural Integration®. He can be reached at bertolucci.lf@gmail.com.*

---

### Abstract

This article describes a particular style of myofascial release (Muscle Repositioning—MR) characterized by evoking involuntary motor reactions. EMG recordings during application of a MR maneuver in the occiput show involuntary tonic cervical erector activity. Such reactions are reminiscent of pandiculation and hence might be related to the technique's efficacy. The author hypothesizes that MR might constitute a novel manual technique that produces unique palpatory sensations and evokes monitorable autonomous homeostatic behaviors. Greater objectivity, precision, and reproducibility are all possible outcomes of such an approach. The author believes that MR can be used in various therapeutic settings.

The original version of this paper appeared in *The Journal of Bodywork & Movement Therapies* 12, 2008, 213–224.

### Introduction

#### *Manual therapies, enduring, and subjective*

Manual therapies are part of human cultural history. Over the centuries, countless manual therapies have been developed, and even now many traditional approaches are still used alongside modern techniques. But perhaps because manual therapies are difficult to study, their mechanisms of action are poorly understood. This hinders their further technical development and scientific validation, as well as their acceptance by the general public.

One persistent difficulty in the study of manual therapies is their reliance upon *subjective* criteria for both diagnosis and treatment. Because each touch is a unique event that combines countless attributes of the practitioner, the client, and the relationship between them, it is virtually impossible to control and standardize

the variables present in the interactions—many of which are not yet scientifically understood in any event.

Most manual therapies are inherently subjective. The practitioner *palpates* (and interprets) what is hard, immobile or displaced; and/or *sees* (and interprets) what is supposedly wrong or displaced. Thus, in many manual therapies, the practitioner is either guided by sensation or follows a pre-determined protocol. Either way, subjectivity is unavoidably in the background. The majority of manual therapies cannot be practiced through anything other than the practitioners' sensations, which is one reason it is so difficult to identify reliable variables for the study and teaching of such disciplines.

Perhaps because of these difficulties, most documented studies of manual therapies are clinical outcome trials. Although these studies are important for evaluation of the efficacy and indications of the modalities concerned, they fail to bring a deeper understanding of their mechanisms of action—or to enhance their objectivity and reproducibility. Might there be another way to reach these goals? What variables could help standardize and objectify an inherently subjective practice?

One possible approach is to monitor physiological reactions. A detectable physiological reaction to a maneuver might or might not contribute to its therapeutic effect. However, it might be an indicator of or means of monitoring the therapeutic effect, and in that sense be an objective measure that could work toward reproducibility of the treatment outcome. Moreover, if the physiological reaction does indeed contribute to the therapeutic effect, the reaction might also shed light on the modality's *mechanisms of action*.

The literature documents the evaluation of many different physiological effects in the search

for the mechanisms of action of manual modalities, most of them using surface electromyography (EMG). There have been documented alterations on motoneuronal excitability produced by spinal manipulation and mobilization techniques. Both inhibition (e.g., 1, 2, 3) and excitation (e.g., 4, 5, 6) of motor neuron activity as accessed by the Hoffmann reflex (H-reflex) has been observed. Improvement of pathologically affected reflex activity has also been reported (7). Similarly, muscle massage has been demonstrated to modulate the H-reflex (8). Such studies might both illuminate the mechanisms of action of the concerned methods and, at least theoretically, lead to the development of standardization criteria for the techniques. However, with respect to spinal manipulation and mobilization techniques, given the short duration of the treatments and the rapidity of reactions measured, the EMG recordings show only what has happened after the treatment is finished.

But if the duration of the maneuver is long enough and the physiological effect persistent enough during its application, the physiological signal could be monitored in real time as the maneuver is performed. In that case, the feedback of the signal might help steer the manual technique as it is being applied. Should this be feasible in the ordinary clinical context, the monitoring of the physiological signal might lend objectivity to the practice of the manual therapy, as discussed below.

This article describes preliminary results observed during the application of a particular style of myofascial release called Muscle Repositioning (MR) (9). (See the Discussion section, below, for a description of the principal features of MR.) Involuntary motor reactions (tonic muscular activity and eye movements) were detected during the performance of an MR maneuver in the occipital region.

The author hypothesizes that MR might characteristically evoke particular neurological reactions, as the search for similar reactions in response to maneuvers at other body locations suggests (10) (see Discussion). Furthermore, such reactions are reminiscent of pandiculation—instinctive stretching behavior which has a likely role in the development and maintenance of motor function, in both its structural and neural aspects (11)—an association that suggests a physiological basis to MR’s mechanisms of action.

To the author’s knowledge, this phenomenon has not yet been described in the literature. It suggests the possibility that physiological signals might serve as feedback mechanisms to bring more objectivity and reproducibility to this and possibly other manual therapies. This article also describes some conceptual aspects of the MR technique, and raises some related questions and observations.

### Methods


Surface EMG activity (measured with NeuroEducator II, Therapeutic Technologies) of the cervical erectors of six healthy subjects was studied. These volunteer subjects worked as physical therapists in the rehabilitation facility where the data were collected. All were females, with ages ranging from 22 to 32 years (mean age 24.67 years).

The electrodes were positioned bilaterally at the level of C4, 3cm from the midline (figure 1). The supine subjects were asked to relax and release the weight of their heads into the treatment table. Starting from a state of electrical silence, recordings were taken in two situations:

- Reference recordings (voluntary elevation of the head from the table, maintaining the position for a short period of time, and relaxing again). They showed activity (co-contraction) of the cervical erectors (figures 3a and 4a).
- During an MR maneuver in the occipital region (figures 3b-d and 4b-h).



**Figure 1:** This figure depicts electrode positioning. The electrodes were positioned bilaterally on top of the cervical erectors, at the level of C4, 3 cm from the midline. Ground electrodes positioned on the acromion.



**Figure 2:** Schematic representation of the experimental setting: (a) the maneuver starts from a state of electrical silence; (b) sustained EMG signal appears and fluctuates during its application, and (c) the signal falls abruptly after a tissue release is felt, when the hands are withdrawn. Often the signal persisted at a reduced level for a few seconds thereafter.

The maneuvers were performed by the author, a physiatrist for 21 years and a Roling® practitioner for seventeen years. Each consisted of giving support to the weight of the subject's head and delivering a specific combination of forces (shear of skin and minute translation and rotation of the head) so as to produce the palpable sensation of hardening (firmness) that characterizes this technique (see Discussion below). The practitioner continued the maneuver until he felt a tissue release, which generally took between 10 and 15 minutes (figure 2).

Mean RMS values of the EMG signal were calculated; and video recordings were made.

### Results

All subjects demonstrated sustained involuntary electrical activity of the cervical erectors. The signal started a variable amount of time (0.5 to 1 minute) after the start of the maneuver, lowered immediately after its end, and commonly persisted at a reduced level for a few seconds thereafter. During the maneuver, the initially low signal characteristically rose progressively and then fluctuated. During the maneuver, the author also observed the involuntary and progressively more forceful extension of the subject's head that pushed the practitioner's hands down into the table. The intensity of this force seemed to correlate with the level of the signal: the higher the signal, the more intense the force. Usually, after the signal and the force of extension reached maximum value, both fell suddenly. Immediately thereafter, tissue release and muscle relaxation were evident.

In most cases, the recordings were bilaterally asymmetrical; but the side showing the greater signal intensity at the outset would come to show the lesser intensity at some point during the maneuver. This shift apparently occurred in response to the practitioner's small movements

of the subject's head. Frequently, the signal was more symmetrical towards the end of the maneuver, when the subject's head was closer to the midline.


Figures 3 and 4 show recordings from two subjects, in which readings are representative of and similar to recordings for all subjects. RMS mean values for all six subjects are summarized below:

Subjects	right ( $\mu V$ )	left ( $\mu V$ )
A	0.81	3.32
B	6.28	10.29
C	1.26	2.50
D	21.70	3.93
E	4.67	17.68


During the second half of the maneuver, involuntary horizontal eye movements were observed in four of the six subjects (see video links). These movements of the cornea (as it projects outward in relation to the sclera) were observable on the surface of the eyelids. They were mostly slow, periodic, side-to-side horizontal movements, the amplitude and velocity of which varied during the maneuver. The videos can be seen at the link <http://musclerepositioning.blogspot.com/>, where additional documentation is also available.

### Discussion

This article has two goals—one based in the experimental data presented above, and another based on clinical observations. The first goal is to show that an involuntary muscle reaction, which was absent prior to the maneuver, appeared during application of the maneuver and disappeared almost immediately after the maneuver. The reference recordings are presented to illustrate the tracings of a sustained



**Figure 3:** Graphic representation of the EMG recordings of one subject: (a) voluntary sustaining of head against gravity; (b) progressive onset of involuntary EMG activity, more intense on the right side; (c) activity on the left side becomes more intense and (d) abrupt fall of signal at the end of maneuver, when tissue releases and hands are withdrawn.



**Figure 4:** Graphic representation of the EMG recordings of another subject: (a) voluntary sustaining of head against gravity; (b) progressive onset of involuntary EMG activity; (c), (d) and (e) progression of the maneuver, right side showing higher EMG signal; (f) left side shows some activity when right side signal is the highest; (g) left side shows higher activity than the right side when the maneuver ends and signal falls abruptly; signal persists on left side for a few seconds and (h) final relaxation.

voluntary muscle action of the target muscles, but do not include a quantitative analysis of signal. The second goal, based on five years' clinical observation of such involuntary muscle responses, is to describe what might constitute a novel manual technique. Therefore, prior to examining the experimental findings in more detail, it is important to understand certain key historical and conceptual aspects of Muscle Repositioning.

#### History

The author developed the MR technique during his seventeen years' clinical practice in the treatment of musculoskeletal disorders. It started serendipitously during Roling® Structural Integration sessions the author administered to other Roling® practitioners. These "client" colleagues observed that the maneuvers they were receiving were somehow "different" from the techniques familiar to them. As they made this observation more and more often, the author and a group of Rolfers™ began

an empirical research project to investigate whether MR was indeed significantly different, as a technique, from those techniques traditionally employed in Roling® SI. At first, the author assumed the role of "client", and instructed the participants to perform MR-style maneuvers on him.

The participants immediately recognized the MR approach to be unlike their customary techniques. In particular, they were asked to rely on parameters they did not customarily consider, such as the firmness of the tissue they engaged during the maneuver and the integrative response of other body segments (see next section for details). Participants also recognized as unfamiliar the *sensations* experienced by both client and practitioner (see section below). Such particularities support the hypothesis that MR might be a distinct technique. It seems to affect the fascial system in a singular way, while engaging the nervous system at the same time. The main features of MR are summarized below:

### *Touch Integrates Body Segment*

The technique begins in a singular way to engage and twist connective tissue structures (fascia) around harder structures (e.g., bones, joints). Initially, the practitioner's hands anchor a portion of skin and move it in relation to the underlying tissues in a particular way. The palpable resistance to this mechanical input guides the practitioner to orient the touch in the proper direction. Apparently, the input reaches first the superficial fascia, and then stresses progressively deeper fascial structures as the maneuver proceeds. A curious phenomenon then appears: a relative immobilization among the client's body segments, suggesting that stressing the soft tissues in this fashion generates an inter-segmental linking of body parts, which presents itself as *unification (or integration)* of the client's body into a single block.

This phenomenon can be seen (see video links) and also palpated when small shaking movements are imparted to the client's body. The body segments move in phase throughout the body, rather than in the waves through the segments that ordinary shaking induces. With MR, the segments move in synchrony; with ordinary shaking, the movement begins where the body first receives input, and reaches the rest of the body sequentially.

The client, too, senses the difference in the body's response to integrative versus ordinary shaking. When receiving the integrative touch, the client often describes a sensation of expansion in the cephalo-caudal direction, or the formation of an "axis" through the body. As discussed later, this brings to mind one of the sensations cultivated during the practice of certain martial arts. In the clinical experience of the author's group, the exploration of these sensations helps the client to differentiate various qualities of movements and postures in daily life.

### *The Sense of Firmness*

Once the MR touch generates inter-segmental integration, the practitioner senses a unique *firmness* under the hands. It is a singular springy sensation that causes the force the practitioner applies to rebound. The feedback of this firmness is a hallmark of the MR technique, and should be present continuously as the technique is applied. The practitioner usually detects a progressive intensification of the firmness during the maneuver. The author

believes that this phenomenon might be related to the tonic reactions described below.

### *Involuntary Reactions Suggest Involvement of the Nervous System*

Until recently, the author interpreted the phenomena of inter-segmental integration and firmness as purely mechanical; *i.e.*, twisting the fascias would tense them, which would compress the joints, which would unite the segments on either side of them. This might be accurate as far as it goes; but something beyond pure mechanics seems to be happening.

If the manual contact with the sense of firmness is sufficiently precise and sustained, another class of phenomena often follows: the client begins to show *involuntary motor reactions* of various kinds. The empirical research first recorded a reaction of this sort during a maneuver in the occipital region: *isometric activity* of the cervical erectors appeared and progressively intensified during the maneuver. Simultaneously, the practitioner felt his hands pressed into the table by the involuntary extension of the subject's head and upper cervical spine, in a pattern similar to a pandiculation movement. This reaction can be so strong that the muscular activity can be seen and palpated.

Other observed involuntary motor activities include horizontal eye movements, tremors, and clonic and tonic appendicular movements. A few subjects have even shown the extreme reaction of involuntarily rising from supine to a seated position (see video links). The observation of such phenomena led the author's group to hypothesize that the MR touch might stimulate physiological neural reflexes, and also to perform the preliminary measurements here described.

### *Broadening the Initial Hypothesis*

The EMG data presented here represent the first attempt to test the aforementioned hypothesis. Having detected tonic reactions in the cervical region in all subjects tested during the occipital region maneuver, the author then watched for similar muscular activity in response to maneuvers in other body locations. A maneuver in the thoracic region has elicited activity in the abdominal muscles of one subject (see video links) and, in a new set of EMG

recordings (10), the same thoracic technique evoked activity in the cervical erectors—in conjunction with synchronic lumbar activity in half of the subjects. Taken together, these data suggest that evocation of reflexive motor activity might be a hallmark of MR in general.

Perhaps the cervical reaction was the first one observed clinically because the upper cervical spine's density of muscle spindles, higher than in any other spinal region (12), renders the cervical segment especially sensitive to MR stimulus.

Does MR provide a *procedure-specific* sensory input that activates those neural reactions? Such reactions were observed only when this particular technique was applied. By contrast, local (sham) maneuvers in the occipital region—with no attempt to induce the characteristic inter-segmental unification and firmness—failed to produce the reactions. It has been demonstrated that mechanical strain of the ligaments and muscles of the spine evokes reflex activation of the paraspinal muscles (13, 14). Similarly, the MR mechanical input might stimulate mechanoreceptors (e.g., those in the spinal facet joints, joint capsules, and ligaments, as well as proprioceptors in the cervical muscles) to create a combination of afferent discharges to the central nervous system that produce the reactions described—probably all of which are reflexive.

#### *Mechanical and Neurological Basis for Segmental Integration and Firmness*

In the experience of the author's group, a certain degree of firmness—and also inter-segmental integration—arises at the outset of a maneuver. What's more, during the course of the maneuver, both reactions show a *progressive* increase in their intensity. Therefore, the author proposes that such firmness might result from the concerted action of two factors—one mechanical and the other neurological.

The mechanical factor is generated by the practitioner's hands; and consists of the particular combination of forces (i.e., tension, compression and shear) imparted to the subject's fascial system. Such forces, when added to the pre-existing forces in the fascial system, might compress the joints enough to produce the degree of firmness that arises at the start of the maneuver. If the duration of the mechanical input is sufficient, the second factor apparently comes into play: the nervous system seems to

engage to generate a progressively higher tonic response, which might account for the progressively intensifying sense of firmness during the maneuver. The sense of firmness intensifies in parallel with the increased intensity of the EMG signal. When these reach a maximum point, both decline precipitously—and at that moment, the practitioner feels the tissue release.

#### *Tonic Reactions Might Be Related to Postural Reflexes*

Based on the following, the author hypothesizes that the neurological responses observed might be related to tonic postural reflexes:

- The responses extend the cervical spine, which is an anti-gravity action.
- As the maneuver progresses, the practitioner can sense (through the small shaking movements) the progressive engagement of spinal segments until the whole trunk and legs feel integrated as a single unit—a quality conducive to the maintenance of body position against gravity.
- EMG tracings obtained in the abdomen are similar to those obtained in a subject standing still (see video links).
- MR maneuvers induce in many subjects sensations similar to those that arise during pandiculation, which is described as a physiologic mechanism aimed to reset appropriate postural tonus after sleep (11).
- Likewise, sensations induced by MR maneuvers are similar to those present during the practice of some martial arts, in which (as discussed later) postural reflexes are cultivated.
- Following MR treatments, subjects frequently report greater perceived stability in standing and walking.

#### *Pandiculation-like Reactions?*

Pandiculation is the involuntary stretching of the soft tissues, which occurs in most animal species and is associated with transitions between cyclic biological behaviors, especially the sleep-wake rhythm. Yawning is considered a special case of pandiculation that affects the musculature of the mouth, respiratory system and upper spine (15).

In pandiculation, muscle activation begins locally and spreads to neighboring areas until it reaches a peak of distribution and intensity; i.e., joints *progressively* stiffen through a chain of reflexes, in which neighboring segments are sequentially engaged to form an ever-larger block that eventually encompasses the entire body. Following the peak, the tissues release.

MR induces a similar progressive engagement of body segments. The inclusion of each segment increases the overall tension within the block until, following the peak, the practitioner feels an abrupt soft tissue release. The progressive segmental engagement is paralleled by an increasing involuntary tonic muscle activity observable both by palpation and by electromyography

Besides such phenotypic similarities, a MR client's subjective experience is often reported as similar to that evoked by pandiculation, which suggests a common element between pandiculation and MR. Such observations may shed light on MR's mechanisms of action, as further discussed below (see Clinical Efficacy and Reflex Reactions section).

#### *Involuntary Eye Movements and Shifts of Consciousness*

Vision is central to postural regulation. In particular, gaze control is closely related to the position and movement of the head. In humans, gaze is controlled primarily by vestibular reflexes, through the *vestibulo-ocular reflex* (VOR). But additional reflex pathways that influence oculomotor activity come from the neck—i.e., the *cervico-ocular reflex* (COR) (16, 17), which functional relevance is still unclear. The COR has both slow and fast components. The former is also elicited by other axial body stimulus, e.g., of the trunk and legs (18). Perhaps the tonic activity of the cervical erectors during the maneuver stimulates mechanoreceptors in the neck—which would induce slow-phase COR-like responses, which, in turn, would generate the involuntary eye movements. These eye movements were observed when particular head positions (unique to each subject) were maintained for sufficient time. Such stimulus might also produce saccule and utricle afferents and evoke responses normally associated with the VOR. Semicircular canal afferents are not likely to contribute, as the eye movements occurred when the subjects' heads were stationary.

The mechanisms and physiological importance, if any, of such eye movements are unknown. One might speculate, taking into account the possible connection between the MR technique and the mechanisms of postural tonus control, that these eye movements represent an adaptation of oculo-motor control mechanisms to altered postural tonic reflexive patterns.

Other intriguing events often accompany the eye movements, such as shifts in breathing rhythm (deeper exhalation and reduced frequency), as well as shifts in consciousness. After MR treatments, subjects described being “asleep and awake at the same time” or in “dream-like state”, having lost track of time, and similar states. Although the significance of this is unknown, it appears that autonomic and reticular formation afferents might be affected by the MR sensory input.

#### *Clinical Efficacy and Reflex Reactions*

First, as already discussed, a positive correlation has been observed between the intensity of the tonic reaction (as measured by the EMG signal) and the degree of palpable tissue firmness. Second, in the author's clinical experience, the greater the maximum firmness during a maneuver, the more effective is the clinical outcome. If these correlations are indeed characteristic of the MR technique, eliciting such neural reflexes in clinical practice would be desirable in and of itself, in that their presence might very well enhance the effectiveness of the treatment.

Our subjects were asked to make subjective self-assessments of certain physical characteristics before and after the maneuvers. The clinical effects the subjects reported after the maneuvers were: greater ROM of the head, overall muscle relaxation, a sense of lightness throughout the body, and often more stability in the feet. Although not objectively verified, these subjective observations suggest that after the maneuver, overall muscle tonus decreases. Other authors have already documented elevated EMG signals during a manual procedure and a drop off afterwards (19, 20). The practitioner's subjective experience is of increasing tonic muscular activity in the cervical region, during which the subject's system seems to be struggling against some resistance (possibly from soft tissue restrictions), followed by a sudden diminution in tonus (possibly a sign that the resistance has been overcome, see Surgical Touch section

below), accompanied by a sense of release and relaxation.

Perhaps the tonic activity enhances the efficacy of the maneuvers by creating more support for the practitioner's manipulations. Given the characteristic *progressive* rise in firmness, one might be observing a positive feedback loop in which the more supported the subject's body, the stronger the tonic reaction—which reaction, in turn, induces even greater firmness (more support), and thereby greater efficacy to the touch. This cycle seems to build to a point at which both subject and practitioner feel the tissues release (possibly when tissue restrictions are overcome); thereafter, both tonic reaction and firmness abruptly diminish.

The internal forces produced by the involuntary reactions are likely to also participate in tissue release, and perhaps MR is a blend of myofascial release and “assisted pandiculation,” with the soft tissue release evoked by a combination of the practitioner's manual input and the internally generated forces of tonic pandiculation-like reactions. This combination of forces might produce a greater effect in the soft tissues than either manual input or pandiculation alone.

### ***Questions and Implications***

#### *Tonic Response as a*

#### *Possible Mutual Regulatory Mechanism*

The MR practitioner senses that the subject's system *recognizes* the manual input and actually responds to it. An observation from MR training classes illustrates the point. As a pedagogical method, the instructor often places his own hands over the student's hands to monitor the subject's response. When the student achieves the “right” feeling, two things happen at once: the student finds the manual sensation pleasant; while the subject *immediately* senses that the mechanical stimulus is appropriate, and expresses this with words such as, “This is what I need,” “Don't stop,” or “You got it.” Apparently, the participants have formed a relationship at the sensory level, in the context of which they mutually and simultaneously identify a very specific stimulus as “right”.

Might it be that MR stimulates some atavistic mutual regulatory mechanism, and that the interaction between practitioner and subject is similar to grooming behaviors of primates and other animals?

In rats, experimental stimulation of hypothalamic structures has elicited, along with other homeostatic drives, grooming and pandiculation (21, 22, 23). Furthermore, the latter is associated with pleasure and well-being (24, 25, 26, 11).

In the clinical experience of the author's group, a client's expressed sense that the practitioner's touch is appropriate brings an element of safety to the work that actually strengthens the social bond of the therapeutic relationship. What's more, the activity of self-observation enriches the client's experience; and, in the context of an ongoing therapeutic relationship, the client's reports of those observations can inform the practitioner's treatment decisions.

#### *The Possibility of*

#### *Simultaneous Diagnosis and Treatment*

When, as is commonly the case, a manual therapist first performs diagnostic tests and then proceeds to treatment, diagnosis and treatment are discrete events. However, in MR, the reflex reactions (manifested as firmness to the touch) are a continuous source of diagnostic information that might facilitate the work *as it is happening*. In addition to pre-treatment diagnosis, the MR practitioner can monitor the results of the maneuver in real time and adjust the mechanical input accordingly. In other words, the practitioner's diagnosis and treatment occur simultaneously as a single event.

In the clinical experience of the author's group, when the sense of firmness is lost, so is the inter-segmental integration. What's more, the subject senses the touch no longer as global and comprehensive, but rather as no more than a local stimulus. If the practitioner ceases to feel the firmness, the qualities of the touch should be corrected (e.g., the practitioner should change the direction or nature of the forces) so as to reconnect with the sense of firmness. At that point, both the practitioner and the subject re-experience the characteristic sensations.

This singular and reliable phenomenon of firmness is one of the most important resources for both clinical practice and teaching of this method. Participants in the author's group have learned to trust the efficacy of directing touch toward the firmer sensation—even when this takes the maneuver on a different path than the one the practitioner might have expected at the outset.

*Physiological Monitoring Might  
Unite the Objective and the Subjective*

Pandiculation is a physiological behavior and, if one accepts that the tonic reactions are physiological responses to mechanical stimuli, one might speculate that MR does indeed have a physiological basis.

The client's system is participating automatically in the treatment. The firmness may be interpreted as a reflection of the client's physiologic state, to which the treatment is continuously connected and adapted. The proper location and direction of the necessary mechanical stimulus *cannot be foreseen*. Adjustments to combinations of forces, mainly shear and torsion, are required from one moment to the next.

As already discussed, the degree of firmness correlates positively with the intensity of tonic activity detected by the EMG. Because increased tonic muscle activity (like isometric contraction) could integrate body segments by reducing joint mobility, it is likely that the tonic reactions actually *cause* the firmness. It follows that the EMG signal could be a surrogate for the firmness as a feedback mechanism to guide the quality of the touch. As the EMG signal is an objective measurement alongside the firmness, which is subjective, this approach potentially brings the objective and the subjective into congruence.

As discussed above (see Introduction), most studies dealing with objective monitoring of physiological effects of manual therapies are before-and-after studies. While these might help us to understand the possible physiological effects of the manual therapies, they do not constitute a resource towards objectivity.

By contrast, real time measurements that can be used as feedback tools might yield more objective ways to study and teach manual therapies. Some studies (27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33) have already shown the potential benefits of using feedback signaling in the teaching of manipulative therapies. At the same time, there is evidence that it is possible to *immediately* influence neurophysiologic variables through touch (e.g., 34, 35, 36). Therefore, there is a theoretical possibility that the monitoring of physiological variables may serve as feedback and hence lend objectivity to the practice of manual techniques. Particularly noteworthy in this regard are the findings, similar to those described here, of *sustained increase* of EMG

during a spinal manual treatment of the spine (36).

The author knows of no other descriptions in the literature of manual techniques using real-time monitoring of physiological variables as feedback for continuous adjustment of quality of touch. MR is well suited to such monitoring because: (a) the maneuvers are long lasting and (b) the signal is continuously present during the maneuver.

This gives the practitioner the opportunity to actually monitor the client's response to his manual input. If a certain objective signal were indeed to correspond to a desired client reaction, the appearance of which depends on the technical adequacy of the touch, the potential value of such monitoring would be obvious. In the teaching setting, the subjective sense of firmness could be tuned by comparison to the objective EMG signal; in the development of treatment protocols, the EMG could serve as an objective criterion. Greater objectivity, precision, and reproducibility are all possible outcomes of such an approach.

*Surgical Touch and  
Myofascial Force Transmission*

To achieve the desired firmness, the MR practitioner approaches the soft tissues at an oblique angle. This angle—coupled with the counter-pressure given by the inertia of the integrated body segments—seems to direct the resultant vectors so as to produce internal shear forces among musculoskeletal structures in very *precise* directions. During the maneuver, the result of such combination of forces is a clear sensation of *relative movement* among myofascial compartments. Initially, it takes place in small increments, which grow larger toward the end of the maneuver and are commonly followed by the subject's experience of a burning sensation.

To the practitioner, the feeling resembles that of the *blunt dissection* surgical technique, in which the surgeon discriminates neighboring structures with a blunt instrument—often the fingers. In surgery, blunt dissection generates minimal lesions because it discriminates structures at pre-existing points of natural separation—along the so-called *planes of cleavage*. During an MR maneuver, it is sometimes possible to discern which of the compartment's cleavage planes are likely to be involved.

Perhaps MR directs and concentrates forces so as to release abnormal adhesions in irregular connective tissue (tissue in which fibers lack the type of directional orientation found in regular connective tissue such as tendons), within muscle compartments, and among other fascial structures. As these adhesions influence *relative muscle positions*, one might speculate that one of MR's mechanisms of action is reestablishment of relative muscle mobility, which allows the muscles to assume optimum relative positions during movements. Such repositioning might in turn lead to more advantageous *myofascial force transmission*, as described by Huijing (37) and hence to improved motor function.

#### *MR and Martial Arts*

In the author's personal experience as a practitioner of martial arts, the tonic reactions described here bear a striking resemblance to postural and movement qualities cultivated in certain Chinese martial arts, such as Chi-Kun and I Chuan. These disciplines include exercises intended to optimize involuntary postural reactions. Some sensations the exercises produce are the same as those observed during MR, such as the sense of expansion along the cephalo-caudal dimension; the inter-segmental integration; and the sense of firmness that should be present when exercises are performed with a partner. During such martial arts exercises, called *tui-shou*, in which the practitioners play with each other's postural balance, the presence of firmness in the contact interface—as well as its absence—is continuously tested in a manner similar to how firmness is tested during MR maneuvers. In fact, the author's martial arts peers have commented upon this similarity while receiving MR maneuvers. Notably, these Eastern disciplines are known to cultivate positive qualities of posture and movement that correlate with overall health and longevity (see, e.g., 38, 39, 40, 41, 42). One

can speculate that MR might similarly stimulate physiological self-regulatory motor and postural mechanisms. These changes are possibly reflexively mediated, as is the increase in muscle force after spinal manipulation, which has been documented previously (43, 44).

#### **Conclusion**

The conclusions that can be drawn from the material reported in this article are limited because the study used a single clinician. Currently, additional EMG evaluations are being undertaken involving other clinicians, different muscle groups and different maneuvers to confirm and expand upon the observations described here.

Future plans include development of qualitative research protocols to investigate the sensations experienced by both subject and practitioner, as well as electroencephalography (EEG) measurements to investigate the possibility of characteristic cortical rhythms. These data, matched to EMG readings, might reveal some important correlations. It is the author's hypothesis that characteristic EEG tracings (possibly related to the sensory-motor rhythm-SMR), EMG signals, and subjective sensations will occur simultaneously during administration of the MR touch.

The practitioners in the author's group have been teaching MR in an experimental fashion for five years—primarily within the Rolting® SI community but also to chiropractors and physical therapists. The feedback is most encouraging, and although the data gathered in the student questionnaires are not yet formally compiled, their overall tone suggests that the results are worth the effort. The author believes that MR can be used in various therapeutic settings—either as the principal technique, or as an adjunct to a variety of principal approaches.

#### **Notes**

- <sup>1</sup> Dishman JD, Bulbulian R. 2000, "Spinal reflex attenuation associated with spinal manipulation." *Spine*. 25: 2519-25.
- <sup>2</sup> Dishman JD, Bulbulian R. 2001, "Comparison of effects of spinal manipulation and massage on motoneuron excitability." *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol*; 41 (2):97-106.
- <sup>3</sup> Murphy BA, Dawson NJ, Slack JR. 1995, "Sacroiliac joint manipulation decreases the H-reflex." *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol*. 35: 87-94.
- <sup>4</sup> Colloca CJ, Keller TS. 2001, "Stiffness and neuromuscular reflex response of the human spine to posteroanterior manipulative thrusts in patients with low back pain." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 24: 489-500.

- <sup>5</sup> Dishman JD, Ball KA, Burk J. 2002, "Central motor excitability changes after spinal manipulation: a transcranial magnetic stimulation study." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 25: 1-9.
- <sup>6</sup> Herzog W, Scheele D, Conway PJ. 1999, "Electromyographic responses of back and limb muscles associated with spinal manipulative therapy." *Spine.* 24: 146-53.
- <sup>7</sup> Floman Y, Liram N, Gilai AN. 1997, "Spinal manipulation results in immediate H-reflex changes in patients with unilateral disc herniation." *Eur Spine J.* 6(6):398-401.
- <sup>8</sup> Morelli M, Seaborne DE, Sullivan J. 1991, "H-Reflex modulation during manual muscle massage of human triceps surae." *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 72: 915-919.
- <sup>9</sup> Bertolucci LF, Botelho LAA, Amorim CF. 2007, "Evoking tonic reactions with myofascial touch: Moving towards objectivity?" In: Findley TW, Schleip R, eds. *Fascia Research: Basic Science and Implications for Conventional and Complementary Health Care.* Munich, Elsevier.
- <sup>10</sup> Bertolucci LF, Kozaka EH. 2009, "Sustained manual loading of the fascial system can evoke tonic reflexes: preliminary results" In: Huijing PA, Hollander P, Findley TW, Schleip R, eds. *Fascia Research II: Basic Science and Implications for Conventional and Complementary Health Care.* Munich, Elsevier.
- <sup>11</sup> Walunski O. 2006, "Yawning: Unsuspected avenue for a better understanding of arousal and interoception." *Med Hypotheses,* 67:6-14.
- <sup>12</sup> Kulkarni V, Chandy MJ, Babu KS. 2001, "Quantitative study of muscle spindles in suboccipital muscles of human fetuses." *Neurol India.* 49:355-259.
- <sup>13</sup> Holm S, Indahl A, Solomonow M. 2002, "Sensorimotor control of the spine." *J Electromyog Kines.* 12: 219-34.
- <sup>14</sup> Solomonow M, Zhou BH, Harris M, Lu Y, Baratta RV. 1998, "The ligamento-muscular stabilizing system of the spine." *Spine.* 23: 2552-62.
- <sup>15</sup> Baenninger R. 1997, "On yawning and its functions." *Psychonomic Bul Rev.* 4(2):198-207.
- <sup>16</sup> Fuller GH. 1980, "The dynamic neck-eye reflex in mammals." *Exp Brain Res.* 44: 29-35.
- <sup>17</sup> Jurgens R, Mergner T. 1989, "Interaction between cervico-ocular and vestibulo-ocular reflexes in normal adults." *Exp Brain Res.* 77:381-390.
- <sup>18</sup> Mergner T, Schweigart G, Botti F, Lehmann A. 1998, "Eyes movements evoked by proprioceptive stimulation along the body axis in humans." *Exp Brain Res.* 120: 450-460.
- <sup>19</sup> DeVocht JW, Pickar JG, Wilder DG. 2005, "Spinal manipulation alters electromyographic activity of paraspinal muscles: a descriptive study." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 28(7): 465-471.
- <sup>20</sup> Herzog W. 1996, "Mechanical, physiologic and neuromuscular considerations of chiropractic treatments." In: Lawrence DJ, Cassidy DJ, McGregor M et al., eds. *Advances in Chiropractic, vol 3.* New York, Mosby-Yearbook.
- <sup>21</sup> Argiolas A, Melis MR, Murgia S, Schiöth HB. 2000, "ACTH- and alpha-MSH-induced grooming, stretching, yawning and penile erection in male rats: site of action in the brain and role of melanocortin receptors." *Brain Res Bull.* Mar 15, 51(5):425-31.
- <sup>22</sup> de Wied D. 1999, "Behavioral pharmacology of neuropeptides related to melanocortins and the neurohypophysial hormones." *Eur J Pharmacol.* Jun 30, 375(1-3):1-11.
- <sup>23</sup> Vergoni AV, Bertolini A, Mutulis F, Wikberg JE, Schiöth HB. 1998, "Differential influence of a selective melanocortin MC4 receptor antagonist (HS014) on melanocortin-induced behavioral effects in rats." *Eur J Pharmacol.* Dec 4, 362(2-3):95-101.
- <sup>24</sup> Fraser AF. 1989, "The phenomenon of pandiculation in the kinetic behaviour of the sheep fetus." *Appl Anim. Behav. Sci,* 24:169-182.
- <sup>25</sup> Sauer EG, Sauer EM. 1967, "Yawning and other maintenance activities in the South African Ostrich." *The Auk.* 84:571-587.
- <sup>26</sup> Russell J, Fernández-Dols J. 1997, "The psychology of facial expression." *Cambridge University Press.*
- <sup>27</sup> Burns JM, Williams RL, Howell JN, Conaster RR, Eland DC. 2007, "Virtual reality simulation of fascial drag using the PHANToM 3.0 haptic interface." In: Findley TW, Schleip R, eds. *Fascia Research: Basic Science and Implications for Conventional and Complementary Health Care.* Munich, Elsevier.
- <sup>28</sup> Descarreaux M, Dugas C, Lalanne K, Vincelette M, Normand MC. 2006, "Learning spinal manipulation: the importance of augmented feedback relating to various kinetic parameters." *Spine J.* 6(2):138-45.
- <sup>29</sup> Harms MC, Innes SM, Bader DL. 1999, "Forces measured during spinal manipulative procedures in two age groups." *Rheumatology.* Oxford; 38(3):267-74.
- <sup>30</sup> Rogers CM, Triano JJ. 2003, "Biomechanical measure validation for spinal manipulation in clinical settings." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 26(9):539-48.
- <sup>31</sup> Triano JJ, Rogers CM, Combs S, Potts D, Sorrels K. 2003, "Quantitative feedback versus standard training for cervical and thoracic manipulation." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 26(3):131-8.
- <sup>32</sup> Triano JJ, Scaringe J, Bougie J, Rogers C. 2006, "Effects of visual feedback on manipulation performance and patient ratings." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 29(5):378-85.
- <sup>33</sup> Van Zoest GG, Gosselin G. 2003, "Three-dimensionality of direct contact forces in chiropractic spinal manipulative therapy." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 26(9):549-56.

- <sup>34</sup> Colloca CJ, Keller TS, Gunzburg R. 2003, "Neuromechanical characterization of in vivo lumbar spinal manipulation. Part II. Neurophysiological response." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 26(9):579-91.
- <sup>35</sup> DeVocht JW, Pickar JG, Wilder DG. 2005, "Spinal manipulation alters electromyographic activity of paraspinal muscles: a descriptive study." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 28(7): 465-471.
- <sup>36</sup> Symons BP, Herzog W, Leonard Tand Nguyen H. 2000, "Reflex responses associated with activator treatment." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 23; (3): 155-59.
- <sup>37</sup> Huijing PA, Baan G. 2003, "Myofascial force transmission: muscle relative position and length determine agonist and synergist muscle force." *J Appl Physiol.* 94: 1092-1107.
- <sup>38</sup> Esch T, Duckstein J, Welke J, Braun V. 2007, "Mind/body techniques for physiological and psychological stress reduction: stress management via Tai Chi training—a pilot study." *Med Sci Monit.* 13(11): 488-497.
- <sup>39</sup> Gorgy O, Vercher JL, Coyle T. 2008, "How does practice of internal Chinese martial arts influence postural reaction control?" *J Sports Sci.* Apr; 26(6):629-42.
- <sup>40</sup> Greenspan AI, Wolf SL, Kelley ME, O'Grady M. 2007, "Tai chi and perceived health status in older adults who are transitionally frail: a randomized controlled trial." *Phys Ther.* 87(5):525-35.
- <sup>41</sup> Hong Y, Li JX. 2007, "Biomechanics of Tai Chi: a review." *Sports Biomech.* 6(3):453-64.
- <sup>42</sup> Yamaguchi AM. 2004, "Effects of tai chi chuan practice on balance in physically independent older women." *Post-graduate thesis presented at Universidade de São Paulo.* Faculdade de Medicina São Paulo; s.n; [90] p.
- <sup>43</sup> Keller TS, Colloca CJ. 2000, "Mechanical force spinal manipulation increases trunk muscle strength assessed by electromyography: a comparative clinical trial." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 23:585-95.
- <sup>44</sup> Suter E, McMorland G, Herzog W, Bray R. 2000, "Conservative lower back treatment reduces inhibition in knee-extensor muscles: a randomized controlled trail." *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 23: 76-80.